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The incidence and prevalence of diabetes are increasing, with rapid growth in the aging population. Although the majority of this phenomenon is the result of the epidemic of type 2 diabetes, epidemiological data suggest that the incidence of type 1 diabetes is increasing by 2–5% per year worldwide.1–3 As a result of improved diabetes management, an increased proportion of individuals with type 1 diabetes are living into the later decades of life.4

Diabetes care plans for older adults must consider aging-related changes that can affect their functional status and ability to self-manage their disease. Advances in the management of type 1 diabetes after the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial5–7 led to routine use of complex insulin regimens, which may become difficult to follow for older adults. Treatment regimens must be modified to adapt to the changes commonly observed with aging. Addressing the unique issues associated with aging can significantly improve the quality of life of older adults and help avoid unnecessary health care costs.8,9

Type 1 diabetes is commonly diagnosed in childhood, but ~25% of people with type 1 diabetes are diagnosed as adults, some even as late as the ninth decade of life.10 About 10% of adults initially diagnosed with type 2 diabetes are found to have pancreatic autoantibodies associated with type 1 diabetes.11 The clinical characteristics of youth-onset type 1 diabetes and type 1 diabetes with onset in adulthood can differ, with potential consequences concerning management later in life.12 Individuals with detectable C-peptide levels, which are more common early in the course of the disease and with onset of type 1 diabetes in adulthood, have better clinical outcomes and can achieve lower A1C levels with less serious hypoglycemia than those without detectable C-peptide concentrations.13,14 An earlier onset of type 1 diabetes is also associated with a longer burden of disease and more diabetes-related complications in the aging population. Lower quality of life in adults with type 1 diabetes is related to worse glycemic control, the presence of chronic complications such as renal disease, and a history of severe hypoglycemia.15,16 All of these factors are important to consider in individualizing management plans for older adults with type 1 diabetes.

Challenges in the Management of Type 1 Diabetes in Older Adults

There is a paucity of data related to glycemic management and control of type 1 diabetes later in life. The Type 1 Diabetes (T1D) Exchange clinic registry reported characteristics of older adults with type 1 diabetes who are followed in diabetes centers across the United States.17 Of those ages 50 to <65 years (n = 2,066), mean A1C was 7.7% (27% had an A1C < 7.0%, 46% had an A1C < 7.5%, and 11% had an A1C ≥ 9.0%), and mean self-reported blood glucose testing was 5.5 times daily. Of those ≥65 years of age...
administered insulin via injections.\textsuperscript{18} The use of an insulin pump and those who had lower A1C levels in both those who used an insulin pump and those who administered insulin via injections.\textsuperscript{18} Diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) was lower with increasing age and was not associated with duration of diabetes.\textsuperscript{19} DKA was more likely in those with higher A1C levels and lower socioeconomic status. No relationship was found between DKA and pump versus injection use.

Longstanding diabetes in older adults has been associated with increased risks of severe hypoglycemia, micro- and macrovascular complications, cognitive decline, and physical disabilities. Older adults may also have other medical comorbidities, functional disabilities, erratic food intake, and insufficient social support. Individuals with geriatric syndromes (i.e., chronic pain, urinary incontinence, polypharmacy, cognitive impairment, frequent falls, and depression)\textsuperscript{20–23} face additional difficulties in performing self-management tasks and lower quality of life. Clinical, functional, and psychosocial factors that should be identified and addressed when developing treatment plans are listed in Table 1 and discussed below. The goal is to minimize hypoglycemia and severe hyperglycemia to preserve quality of life.

**Hypoglycemia risk**

Hypoglycemia is a major barrier to achieving optimal glycemic control. It is common in type 1 diabetes and is associated with increased morbidity and mortality and reduced quality of life.\textsuperscript{19,24–28} Severe hypoglycemia, defined as an episode requiring external assistance for treatment, is of greatest concern because it has been associated with cardiac abnormalities including arrhythmias\textsuperscript{29} and brain damage.\textsuperscript{30,31} Hypoglycemia unawareness and poor awareness are major risk factors for severe and recurrent hypoglycemia in type 1 diabetes\textsuperscript{32} and are of particular concern in the elderly because of their increased risk of falls, cognitive impairments, and cardiovascular disease. With aging and long duration of diabetes, there is impairment of counterregulatory responses to hypoglycemia.\textsuperscript{33–36} The glycemic threshold at which autonomic symptoms occur and reaction time are also modified by advancing age.\textsuperscript{31} Confusion, dizziness, falling, weakness, difficulty with communication, and poor coordination and balance may not be recognized as possible symptoms of hypoglycemia.

In the TID Exchange, 18.6\% of participants with diabetes ≥ 40 years of age (n = 758) self-reported having had a seizure or loss of consciousness in the previous 12 months.\textsuperscript{19} Duration of diabetes was associated with the occurrence of severe hypoglycemia. Severe hypoglycemia was also associated with lower education status and household income, lack of private insurance, and race (greater in non-Hispanic blacks and Hispanics than in non-Hispanic whites). Injection users had more severe hypoglycemia than insulin pump users. Self-reported severe hypoglycemia was lowest in those with mean A1C levels of 7.0–7.5\%. In the U.K. Hypoglycaemia Study, there was a 46\% prevalence over 12 months of severe hypoglycemia in adults with type 1 diabetes of > 15 years’ duration (mean age 53 years, A1C 7.8\%).\textsuperscript{37} Severe hypoglycemia in type 1 diabetes is more common in the presence of nephropathy, neuropathy, and depression and with the use of nonselective β-blockers and alcohol.\textsuperscript{27,38} Insulin dosing needs to be reduced with advancing renal insufficiency. Fear of hypoglycemia is another obstacle to optimizing glycemic control in older adults.\textsuperscript{39}

The association of increased incidence of severe hypoglycemia with intensive glycemic control in older adults\textsuperscript{39,40–43} has generated controversy regarding glycemic targets in this population.\textsuperscript{19,40–48} Higher A1C goals are recommended for older adults with multiple comorbidities, disabilities, or a history of recurrent hypoglycemia (Table 2). These flexible targets, however, may not be sufficient to significantly reduce hypoglycemia, as observed in a recent study of adults ≥ 69 years of age with an A1C > 8\%.\textsuperscript{45} During 72 hours of continuous glucose monitoring (CGM), 63\% experienced hypoglycemia at least once. In the TID Exchange, high rates of severe hypoglycemia were reported in adults with an A1C > 7.5\% and > 40 years’ duration of diabetes.\textsuperscript{19} Technological advances leading to closed-loop insulin delivery systems will be needed to fully address this problem.

**Chronic pain**

Chronic pain can lead to difficulty with self-care in older adults and can contribute to glycemic variability. Pain medications can also cause confusion and reduce coordination. Additionally, chronic pain is associated with higher health care costs in older adults because of increased falls and fractures, slow rehabilitation, depression, and decreased socialization.\textsuperscript{8} It is important to assess pain in older patients at every medical visit and provide adequate treatment.

**Vision and hearing impairment**

Visual and auditory impairments affect the ability to perform self-care tasks and are related to aging, as well as vascular and neurological damage from diabetes.\textsuperscript{49–51} Acute reversible changes in vision can result from serious hypoglycemia (e.g., central scotoma and reduced contrast sensitivity\textsuperscript{52}) and hyperglycemia (e.g., blurry vision). Poor vision may be a barrier to accurate insulin administration, increasing the risk of hypoglycemia and falls. Hearing loss may contribute to a patient’s inability to fully understand instructions from providers. Hearing and vision impairment can also limit social interaction and independence and have untoward psychosocial effects (e.g., isolation, fatigue, avoidance, depression, and negativism). Such impairments should be identified and addressed during diabetes education and training, and management plans should be modified as needed. Magnifiers, “talking” glucose meters, insulin pens, and written instructions provided in large type sizes can be helpful.

**Comorbid medical conditions**

Older adults with multiple comorbidities, including geriatric syndromes, may experience a failure-to-thrive pattern of decline. Coexisting chronic medical conditions such as hypertension, chronic kidney disease, heart failure, chronic lung disease, musculoskeletal conditions, cancer, and history of stroke require particular attention. These comorbidities can have profound impacts on functional status and quality of life\textsuperscript{20,21} and affect insulin requirements and self-care abilities. Older adults with type 1 diabetes may require frequent adjustments in insulin dosing, especially during acute illnesses, to decrease the likelihood of

---

\textsuperscript{10} (n = 683), mean A1C was 7.4\% (34\% had an A1C < 7.0\%, 52\% had an A1C < 7.5\%, and 8\% had an A1C ≥ 9.0\%) and mean self-reported blood glucose testing was 5.6 times daily. Greater frequency of self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) was associated with lower A1C levels in both those who used an insulin pump and those who administered insulin via injections.\textsuperscript{18}
## Table 1. Challenges in Older Adults With Type 1 Diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concerns</th>
<th>Assessments</th>
<th>Possible Interventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypoglycemia</strong></td>
<td>• History</td>
<td>• Frequent SMBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Glucose meter downloads</td>
<td>• Use of personal CGM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Consideration for use of a professional CGM system</td>
<td>• Use of new insulin pump features (e.g., low glucose suspend)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Assessment of accuracy of insulin administration</td>
<td>• Adjustment of glucose targets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Adjustment in timing of insulin administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Diabetes self-management education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Blood glucose awareness training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Medical alert service or bracelet/necklace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cognitive dysfunction</strong></td>
<td>• Screening for cognitive impairment (e.g., Mini-Mental State Exam, Mini-Cog)</td>
<td>• Cognitive aids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Screening for adverse effects of medications</td>
<td>• Audio reminders (e.g., devices with alarms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Laboratory testing (screening for vitamin B&lt;sub&gt;12&lt;/sub&gt; deficiency and hypothyroidism)</td>
<td>• Visual reminders (e.g., dry erase board for refrigerator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Consideration of structural neuroimaging</td>
<td>• Simplification of medication regimen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Assistance with insulin administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Consideration of fixed mealtime insulin dosing (if eating is reliable and consistent meals can be provided)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peripheral neuropathy</strong></td>
<td>• Foot exam</td>
<td>• Proper footwear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Balance assessment</td>
<td>• Foot care education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Referral to a podiatrist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Physical therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Mobilty assistive devices (e.g., cane or walker)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Grip assistance products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Injection aids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronic pain</strong></td>
<td>• History</td>
<td>• Adequate pain management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Physical examination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vision impairment</strong></td>
<td>• Vision assessment</td>
<td>• Use of assistive devices (e.g., talking meter, magnifier fitted for syringe or pump screen, or hand or wallet magnifier)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Eye examination by eye care professional</td>
<td>• Use of insulin pens (count clicks for dosing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Improved lighting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Use of vibration and memory features on pumps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Instruction handouts printed in large type size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Use of dark colored paper under the syringe or pen (to provide contrast)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Referral to low-vision specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hearing impairment</strong></td>
<td>• Hearing assessment</td>
<td>• Hearing aids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urinary incontinence</strong></td>
<td>• History</td>
<td>• Avoidance of caffeine and alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Review of medication list</td>
<td>• Pelvic muscle (Kegel) exercises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Glucose meter downloads</td>
<td>• Bladder training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Urinalysis and culture</td>
<td>• Medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Referral to specialists</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*continued on p. 12*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concerns</th>
<th>Assessments</th>
<th>Possible Interventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Polypharmacy        | • Review of medication list  
• Review of prescription plan formulary and ability to pay for medications | • Titration of medication doses (use lowest doses possible)  
• Discontinuation of inappropriate medications and those no longer needed  
• Review of possible adverse effects of medications on blood glucose and perception of hypoglycemia |
|                     | • Assessment of adequacy of diet and nutrients  
• Celiac screening, if indicated  
• Assessment of the need for carbohydrate consistency  
• Assessment of ability to shop and prepare meals  
• Assessment of ability to pay for food | • Dietary counseling  
• Referral to a nutritionist  
• Reminders for meals  
• Referral to social services (e.g., Meals on Wheels or in-home care) |
| Falls               | • Blood pressure (to assess for postural hypotension)  
• Functional activity assessment  
• Balance/gait assessment | • Physical therapy  
• Occupational therapy (to assess need for modifications in the home)  
• Review of fall precautions  
• Use of mobility assistive devices  
• Home exercise program  
• Community exercise program |
| Depression          | • In-office depression screen (e.g., PHQ-9, Geriatric Depression Scale) | • Antidepressant prescription  
• Referral to mental health professional  
• Referral to social services |
| Mobility/dexterity  | • History  
• Physical examination  
• Assessment of ability to perform SMBG and insulin administration | • Mobility assistive devices (e.g., cane or walker)  
• Grip assistance products for syringes  
• Injection aids  
• Pharmacy assistance program  
• Pharmacy delivery program  
• Transportation assistance  
• Referral to social services |
| Fracture risk       | • Vitamin D deficiency screening  
• Bone mineral density evaluation | • Vitamin D supplementation, if indicated  
• Treatment of metabolic bone disease (e.g., bisphosphonates for osteoporosis, if needed)  
• Weight-bearing exercise |
| Poor numeracy skills| • Review of ability to perform needed calculations  
• Review of ability to follow insulin administration instructions | • Use of insulin dosing calculator  
• Simplification of regimen (e.g., change from carbohydrate counting to carbohydrate consistency)  
• Simplification of insulin regimen (consider fixed insulin dosing) |
| Low health literacy | • History  
• Assessment of ability to read and understand written instructions | • Instructions and education materials provided in appropriate formats (e.g., picture guides) |

*continued on p. 13*
Table 1. Challenges in Older Adults With Type 1 Diabetes, continued from p. 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concerns</th>
<th>Assessments</th>
<th>Possible Interventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes-related distress</td>
<td>• Assessment of nonadherence, adverse effects of medications, social issues, financial issues, and transportation concerns</td>
<td>• Diabetes education&lt;br&gt;• Referral to diabetes educator/nurse&lt;br&gt;• Increased attention by educators (more frequent visits or phone calls between office visits)&lt;br&gt;• Referral to social services&lt;br&gt;• Pharmacy assistance program&lt;br&gt;• Pharmacy delivery program&lt;br&gt;• Transportation assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
<td>• History</td>
<td>• Family meeting&lt;br&gt;• Referral to social services&lt;br&gt;• Stress reduction&lt;br&gt;• Referral to community resources</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire 9. Adapted in part from Refs. 40, 55, 76, and 95.

dehydration, DKA, hypoglycemia, and poor wound healing.

Urinary incontinence
Incontinence is common in older women with type 1 diabetes and has a greater prevalence than neuropathy, retinopathy, and nephropathy. Women with diabetes are more likely than those without it to experience severe, symptomatic incontinence and urinary tract infections. Urinary incontinence can inhibit socialization, interfere with daily activities, and contribute to increased falls, depression, and lower quality of life. Because hyperglycemia worsens incontinence, bladder infections, and vaginal candidiasis, achieving satisfactory glycemic control to avoid glucose-induced polyuria and nocturia is recommended.

Polypharmacy issues
Polypharmacy, which is common in diabetes, predisposes older adults to drug-to-drug interactions and adverse drug effects caused by altered drug disposition from age-related changes in drug metabolism. Seniors are more sensitive to many medications, may require lower doses, and may be more prone to side effects affecting brain function, physical functioning, balance, and food intake. Careful selection of medications that have a strong benefit-to-risk ratio, prescription of the lowest doses possible, consideration of renal function, and discontinuation of medications that are no longer needed or are causing undue adverse events are all important. Patients and their caretakers should be educated to recognize, respond to, and report possible side effects.

Nutrition concerns
Medical nutrition therapy is an essential component of diabetes care. Mealtime insulin dosing is designed primarily to match the carbohydrate content of meals, but inconsistent food intake is common in older adults, and numeracy skills are often lacking. This can be responsible for wide glycemic fluctuations. Older adults with diabetes can also develop micro-nutrient deficiencies from declining caloric intake with aging. Tooth loss and dental disease, inability to shop for groceries or prepare or consume meals, depression, swallowing difficulties, and polypharmacy can lead to undernutrition. Weight loss, whether intentional or unintentional, may contribute to nutritional deficits, worsen sarcopenia, and reduce bone health.

Dietary counseling is recommended. Fortified foods and nutritional supplements can be used. Older adults may find community resources such as Meals on Wheels or meals served at local senior centers beneficial. In the presence of poor and unpredictable food intake, consideration should be given to administering rapid-acting insulin immediately after meals instead of before so lower doses can be given if less food is consumed.

Cognitive impairment
Unlike other chronic conditions, diabetes management involves performing multiple complex self-care tasks, including frequent SMBG and appropriate adjustment and self-administration of insulin multiple times daily. Cognitive dysfunction, which can vary in magnitude from subtle deficits to overt dementia, affects the ability of older adults with type 1 diabetes to self-manage their disease.

Although vascular disease in type 1 diabetes has been associated with brain damage, glycemic control also appears to play a role in cognitive performance. Hyperglycemia has been linked with an increased number of mental subtraction errors, decreased attention, impaired speed of information processing, impaired working memory, and loss of inhibition and focus. Hypoglycemia affects immediate memory, working memory, visual-motor skills, visual-spatial skills, and global cognitive function. Studies have reported decline in cognitive function with repeated episodes of hypoglycemia.

When impaired cognition becomes evident, screening for alcohol use, thyroid dysfunction, and vitamin B12 deficiency should be considered. Older adults may not display typical signs and symptoms of hypothyroidism, and there is an increased risk of autoimmune thyroid disease in type 1 diabetes. Recognition of cognitive deficits, assistance from family members or caretakers, simplification of treatment regimens, and use of cognitive aids can all be helpful for older patients with type 1 diabetes.

Physical disability
Physical disabilities are common in older adults with diabetes and predict future decline in health status and reduction in quality of life.
More than half of older individuals with diabetes have peripheral neuropathy, which, along with comorbid conditions such as arthritis and vascular disease, increases the risk of functional impairment from muscle atrophy, balance and gait problems, postural instability, and falls. These conditions can interfere with the ability to keep medical appointments and perform activities of daily living.

**Physical inactivity**

Physical activity is an important component of diabetes care. Even light-intensity physical activity has been associated with self-reported improvements in physical health and psychosocial well-being. Physical therapy can improve the balance and overall functional status of older adults. Supervised home- and community-based exercise programs should be encouraged. To avoid hypoglycemia during or after increased physical activity, insulin dosing at the previous meal should be reduced or omitted or a carbohydrate snack should be given just before exercise. Basal insulin dosing may need to be lowered with regular daily physical activity.

**Falls and fractures**

Fall risk should be assessed periodically in older adults. Neuropathy, vision loss, sarcopenia, and gait and balance problems are all risk factors for falls and subsequent fractures.

Older women with diabetes are at high risk for hip and proximal humeral fractures, which are associated with substantial mortality and morbidity. Fall prevention should be reviewed with all older adults with type 1 diabetes. Physical therapy and balance improvement exercises should be encouraged, and mobility aids should be used when needed. Daily calcium intake (diet plus supplements) should be 1,000–1,200 mg, and vitamin D intake should be 800–1,000 IU. Additional vitamin D supplements may be needed when deficiency is documented. The National Osteoporosis Foundation recommends bone mineral density studies to evaluate for osteoporosis in women >65 years of age or postmenopausal with risk factors, in men >70 years of age or 50–69 years of age with risk factors, and in the presence of compression fracture.

**Depression risk**

Adults with diabetes have a high prevalence of depression. In the T1D Exchange, depressive symptoms (Patient Health Questionnaire-8 scores ≥10) were reported in 12% of those 50 to <65 years of age (n = 1,032) and 6.2% of those ≥65 years of age (n = 406), with no difference between insulin injection and pump users. Those with depression had more severe hypoglycemia, higher A1C levels, more self-reported missed insulin doses, and more DKA. Untreated depression not only negatively affects self-care, medication adherence, and lifestyle choices, but also can be responsible for anorexia, nausea, constipation, and loss of appetite. It may also result in disinterest or inability to prepare meals. Alterations in food intake can contribute to fluctuations in glucose levels and increase the risk of hypo- and hyperglycemia. Depression screening at regular intervals can help identify older adults who may benefit from antidepressive treatment.

**Diabetes-related distress**

In older adults with a long duration of type 1 diabetes, acute and chronic complications, as well as other causes of stress, are common. Effective coping and social support can help improve adherence, glycemic control, and quality of life. Interventions, including diabetes-related education, frequent contact with the diabetes care team, pharmacy delivery programs, transportation assistance, and other social services can help reduce diabetes-related distress.

**Guidelines for Managing Type 1 Diabetes in Older Adults**

There has been little research examining the best treatment approaches for older adults with type 1 diabetes. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the American Geriatrics Society (AGS) recommend a collaborative and integrated team approach to treating older patients with diabetes, using a variety of strategies to assess and address age-specific barriers and provide individualized treatment plans and education to patients and their partners or caretakers. Treatment aims include establishing acceptable glycemic control and minimizing the risk for acute complications (i.e., hypoglycemia and serious hyperglycemia). These guidelines are primarily directed toward older people with type 2 diabetes. In the absence of guidelines specifically for older individuals with type 1 diabetes, these general principles are used. A framework is provided for consideration of glycemic goals (Table 2) and treatment goals for blood pressure and dyslipidemia (Table 3) in older adults based on health status and comorbidities.

Healthy, independently functioning older adults should be treated more aggressively than those who are frail or who have multiple comorbid conditions and disabilities associated with a limited life expectancy. For healthy older individuals with few coexisting chronic illnesses who are able to self-manage their diabetes, an A1C goal of <7.5% is recommended.

Frailty is defined as the presence of three of five of the following: low grip strength, low energy, slowed walking speed, low physical activity, and unintentional weight loss. Frail older adults have reduced life expectancy and increased risk of hypoglycemia and are either unable to perform or have difficulty performing self-care tasks. The goal A1C for frail older adults with poor health status due to end-stage chronic disease or high levels of physical and cognitive disability who are living at home is <8.5%. Lower goals can be considered in the absence of hypoglycemia and if they can be achieved without undue burden.

Older adults with complex or intermediate health status have multiple chronic illnesses; have mild to moderate cognitive impairment or dependency in more than two instrumental activities of daily living; and are susceptible to hypoglycemia. Complex insulin regimens may become difficult for them to follow. An individualized approach to managing type 1 diabetes becomes crucial in this population, with consideration of an A1C goal of <8.0% (Table 2).

Frequent SMBG is important to guide insulin therapy and detect and avoid hypoglycemia. Insulin regimens should be simplified according to patients’ preferences. The need for education should be periodically assessed. Family members and caretakers should participate in education, training, and follow-up. They should be reminded that basal insulin should not be discontinued during inter-current illness or during periods of poor oral intake because
Insulin therapy

Insulin therapy is required in type 1 diabetes to prevent serious hyperglycemia and DKA. Insulin treatment strategies and delivery approaches must be individualized and will differ between healthy older adults and those with frailty and limited life expectancy.

Multiple daily injection (MDI) insulin regimen. This regimen combines basal insulin coverage 24 hours/day and bolus insulin with meals. The basal insulin is commonly once-daily insulin glargine or once- or twice-daily insulin detemir. Insulin detemir, particularly in low doses, may not be effective for 24 hours and may need to be given twice daily. Insulin detemir may be associated with less hypoglycemia than insulin glargine. Rapid-acting insulin is usually given before or with meals and snacks. To achieve good glycemic control, prandial insulin dosing should take into account the premeal blood glucose level and anticipated carbohydrate intake and activity. If food intake is uncertain, rapid-acting prandial insulin can be given immediately after the meal so the dose can be adjusted based on actual intake.

Many healthy adults use insulin-to-carbohydrate ratios and correction factors to calculate their mealtime doses. This approach becomes more difficult with aging and the development of geriatric syndromes. Older individuals with these syndromes may require the assistance of their partner or caretaker. An alternative approach relies on fixed meal dosing and an eating plan that provides consistent carbohydrates at each meal and consistent timing of meals. To avoid hypoglycemia, correction dosing should be prescribed with great caution in the presence of frailty and used only to correct for serious hyperglycemia. Frail individuals also have a high risk of acute illness and hospitalization and may require frequent insulin dose adjustments with changes in their overall health status. Periodically, a diabetes educator should evaluate the ability of older individuals or their caretaker to perform the necessary tasks to properly administer insulin.

Some older patients use NPH insulin twice daily and regular insulin before breakfast and dinner (and at lunch if the morning NPH effect is insufficient to cover lunch) because the cost of these insulin formulations is less than that of insulin analogs. Because of the increased risk for hypoglycemia with these insulin preparations, snacks may be needed mid-morning, mid-afternoon, and at bedtime to avoid hypoglycemia. Glucose levels should be checked in the middle of the night to rule out nocturnal hypoglycemia. Administering NPH insulin at bedtime (instead of before dinner) can be helpful in reducing nighttime hypoglycemia.

Continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII). Insulin pump therapy is commonly used in adults with type 1 diabetes and has been associated with less hypoglycemia and better quality of life than MDI regimens. There are few data concerning the use of CSII in older adults. With aging, the use of CSII may become difficult. Pump therapy can be continued as long as individuals are capable of properly using a pump or their partner or caretaker is capable and willing to take over this responsibility. Pump therapy has the advantage of being able to provide more than one basal rate, permit the use of reduced temporary basal rate for increased activity, provide an insulin bolus calculator (incorporated

### Table 2. Glycemic Management of Type 1 Diabetes in Older Adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Status</th>
<th>Fasting and Preprandial Blood Glucose Goal (mg/dl)</th>
<th>Bedtime Blood Glucose Goal (mg/dl)</th>
<th>A1C Goal (%)</th>
<th>Insulin Therapy Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Healthy       | 90–130                                        | 90–150                          | < 7.5        | • MDI  
• CSII  
• CSII+CGM |
| Frail         | 90–150                                        | 100–180                         | < 8.0        | • MDI  
• CSII  
• CSII+CGM  
• Fixed dose |
| Poor health   | 100–180                                       | 110–200                         | < 8.5        | • MDI  
• Fixed dose |
| Long-Term Care Facility Residents | 100–180                                       | 110–200                         | < 8.5        | • MDI  
• Fixed dose |

ADL, activities of daily living; CSII, continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion; MDI, multiple daily injection insulin regimen. Adapted in part from Ref. 40.
in the pump to calculate bolus dosing based on glucose level, carbohydrate intake, and sensitivity factor), and permit the use of extended or dual boluses for patients with gastroparesis. The memory and vibration features may be helpful in the elderly population. As with MDI regimens, postmeal administration of prandial insulin via the insulin pump may be advisable to avoid hypoglycemia in individuals with unpredictable food intake.

**Insulin pump therapy (CSII) with CGM.** The use of CGM-augmented pump therapy has not been well studied in older adults. In adults >25 years of age, frequent use of CGM was associated with lower A1C levels and fewer episodes of hypoglycemia. The ASPIRE (Automation to Simulate Pancreatic Insulin REsponse) study examined the use of CGM-augmented pumps with a low glucose threshold suspend feature in patients with type 1 diabetes ages 16–70 years. Hypoglycemia was reduced without deterioration in A1C levels. This technology holds promise for improving quality of life by decreasing hypoglycemia.

**Premixed insulins.** Premixed insulins are rarely used in type 1 diabetes because of their nonphysiological profiles. In specific circumstances when other regimens are not possible, twice-daily dosing (before breakfast and dinner) can be considered. Snacks may be needed mid-morning, mid-afternoon, and at bedtime to avoid hypoglycemia. Glucose levels should be checked in the middle of the night to rule out hypoglycemia.

**Residents of long-term care facilities.** Diabetes is a well-recognized risk factor for admission to long-term care (LTC) facilities. Adults in LTC have increased hospitalization rates, susceptibility to infections, macrovascular complications, depression, physical disabilities, and cognitive decline compared to ambulatory adults without diabetes. Management of type 1 diabetes in LTC residents is challenging because of variable nutritional status, erratic meal consumption and fluid intake, difficulties with communication related to cognitive dysfunction, and inadequate training of staff in the monitoring and treatment of type 1 diabetes. All of these factors make this population particularly vulnerable to wide glycemic excursions, with unrecognized hypo- and hyperglycemia.

MDI therapy with flexible blood glucose targets is generally used in LTC facilities (Table 2). Meals of fixed composition should be given with consideration to the residents’ food preferences to avoid unintentional weight loss and malnutrition. Administration of fixed prandial insulin doses (immediately postmeal if intake is uncertain) is desirable to enhance patient safety. Older adults with type 1 diabetes who are unable to ingest meals require basal insulin to avoid DKA. Premixed insulins should be used rarely because of the high risk of hypoglycemia given the variable dietary habits and poor ability to recognize and communicate about hypoglycemia in this vulnerable population. More frequent blood glucose monitoring is indicated during acute illnesses, when food intake is changing, and when adjusting insulin doses for hyper- or hypoglycemia.

Most older adults in LTC facilities are dependent on facility staff to perform self-care tasks. The staff should

---

**Table 3. Management of Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Older Adults With Type 1 Diabetes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Status</th>
<th>Blood Pressure (mmHg)</th>
<th>Lipids (LDL goal &lt;100 mg/dl in general; &lt;70 mg/dl in those with cardiovascular disease)</th>
<th>Aspirin Therapy (75–162 mg/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>&lt;140/80</td>
<td>Statin therapy recommended unless contraindicated or not tolerated</td>
<td>Recommended for secondary prevention and for primary prevention with one additional risk factor unless contraindicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frail</td>
<td>&lt;140/80</td>
<td>Statin therapy recommended unless contraindicated or not tolerated</td>
<td>Recommended for secondary prevention and for primary prevention with one additional risk factor unless contraindicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health</td>
<td>&lt;150/90</td>
<td>Statin therapy recommended unless very limited life expectancy, contraindicated, or not tolerated</td>
<td>Consider benefit vs. risk from adverse effects (especially bleeding)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-Term Care Facility Residents</td>
<td>&lt;150/90</td>
<td>Consider benefit with very limited life expectancy</td>
<td>Consider benefit vs. risk from adverse effects (especially bleeding)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADL, activities of daily living. Adapted from Refs. 40 and 94.
have diabetes education and training, understand the importance of glucose monitoring in type 1 diabetes, and be alert for possible hypoglycemia and serious hyperglycemia. Glucose monitoring results should be regularly reviewed, with modification of insulin dosing and diet as needed when hypoglycemia or severe hyperglycemia is detected. Results from a pilot study suggest that telemedicine consultations may be of benefit in reducing hypoglycemia and severe hyperglycemic events for insulin-treated residents in skilled nursing facilities.86

Management of cardiovascular risk factors
The risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) is 7.7-fold higher in women with type 1 diabetes and 3.6-fold higher in men with type 1 diabetes compared to those without diabetes.87 High CVD risk is associated with nephropathy,88,89 and the presence of the metabolic syndrome.90–92 No large trials have focused on management of cardiovascular risk factors in older adults with type 1 diabetes. ADA/AGS recommendations (Table 3) are primarily based on studies that included all older adults with diabetes.

Blood pressure. The goal for treatment of hypertension in healthy older adults and frail older adults without end-stage chronic illness who have type 1 diabetes is <140/80 mmHg. The ADA/AGS guidelines recommend a higher systolic and diastolic blood pressure target (<150/90 mmHg) in older adults with poor health status, end-stage chronic illness, or short life expectancy and those residing in LTC facilities.

The European Society of Hypertension/European Society of Cardiology 2013 guidelines for treating hypertension in the elderly recommend the following: 1) in the elderly with systolic blood pressure ≥160 mmHg, reduce to 140–150 mmHg; 2) in fit elderly patients <80 years of age with initial systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg, consider treatment to <140 mmHg; 3) in elderly patients >80 years of age in good physical and mental condition with an initial systolic blood pressure ≥160 mmHg, reduce to 140–150 mmHg; 4) in frail elderly patients, treatment is at the discretion of the physician; 5) the diastolic blood pressure goal for patients with diabetes is <85 mmHg; and 6) well-tolerated antihypertensive medications should be continued with advanced age unless considered unsafe.93

Blood pressure should be lowered gradually to reduce the risk of hypotensive symptoms, especially in the frail elderly. Renal function and serum potassium should be monitored within 1–2 weeks of initiation of therapy for those taking ACE inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers and at least yearly thereafter. If diuretics are used to treat hypertension, electrolytes should be monitored within 1–2 weeks of initiation of therapy and periodically thereafter.

Lipids. The ADA recommends annual monitoring of the fasting lipid profile. In the presence of overt CVD, the goal for LDL cholesterol is <70 mg/dl. In the absence of overt CVD, the LDL goal is <100 mg/dl. The triglyceride goal is <150 mg/dl, and HDL cholesterol goals are >40 mg/dl in men and >50 mg/dl in women. Statin therapy is indicated in healthy older adults and frail older adults with complex health status to reduce CVD morbidity and mortality, unless contraindicated or not tolerated. In older adults with poor health status and those residing in LTC facilities, life expectancy should be considered when determining the benefit of statin therapy. The use of other lipid-lowering medications alone or in combination has not been shown to be of benefit in older adults with type 1 diabetes.

Aspirin. The role of aspirin for primary prevention of CVD in older adults with type 1 diabetes is unclear. In healthy adults, aspirin (75–162 mg/day) is generally recommended for those with high CVD risk (10-year risk >10%, including older adults with one additional risk factor). Aspirin is also used in patients with known CVD for secondary prevention. The potential benefits of aspirin therapy should be weighed against the risk of bleeding in these individuals, especially in older adults with multiple medical conditions, severe cognitive impairment, or a high risk for falls.

Conclusion
Older adults with type 1 diabetes are a heterogeneous group and have not been well studied. With long-duration diabetes, hypoglycemia is common, regardless of A1C level. Individualized treatment plans using more complex insulin regimens and lower glycemic goals with frequent SMBG are recommended in healthy older adults. For individuals with poor health status and frailty, modifications are suggested. Older adults should be assessed for hypoglycemia and serious hyperglycemia and should address identified physical, emotional, and social challenges to enhance safety and quality of life. In the future, new insulin preparations and technological advances are expected to contribute to better therapeutic approaches for this growing population.
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