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Diabetes self-management education (DSME) is an integral part of diabetes care “for all individuals with diabetes who want to achieve successful health-related outcomes,” regardless of age. The goal of DSME is to enable patients to better manage their diabetes. Medical care in the absence of adequate self-care is rarely effective for chronic illnesses. Studies of self-management interventions show that health behaviors, health status, and health care utilization improve with increased patient involvement in daily care. The value of DSME is evident from research. For example, in one study, patients who never received DSME had a fourfold increased risk for major diabetes complications compared with patients who received some form of DSME.

More than one-fifth of all patients with diabetes are > 60 years of age. As educators, it is important to be aware of current DSME guidelines for older adults and how these guidelines can be incorporated in a clinical setting. However, older adults are under-represented in DSME research studies, so evidence-based guidelines specifically targeted toward older people are difficult to formulate. The American Association of Diabetes Educators (AADE) and the American Geriatric Society (AGS) have formulated guidelines for DSME in the elderly largely based on expert consensus.

Both the AGS and AADE guidelines appreciate that the care of older adults with diabetes is complicated by their clinical and functional heterogeneity (Table 1). Older adults have more medical comorbidities, are functionally more heterogeneous, and have a more variable life expectancy than their younger counterparts. This article reviews the principles that emerge from the AGS and AADE guidelines and presents practical applications for clinical practice. A case study illustrates the particular challenges faced by older adults with diabetes.

DSME FOR OLDER ADULTS: GENERAL PRINCIPLES

Several general principles emerge to guide DSME for older adults based on published literature (Table 2).

Individualize DSME

Optimal care for elderly patients includes individualized DSME that accommodates the tremendous clinical and functional diversity found within this population. Clinical variables, such as duration of disease, presence of complications and other medical comorbidities, and life expectancy, must all be taken into account when planning care for the elderly. DSME for elders who have clinically complex conditions, limited English proficiency, and are frail or...
A thorough understanding of diabetes and its management is crucial for older adults, as they may face unique challenges related to their age, health status, and medication management. The diabetes education assessment is a critical component in tailoring diabetes management to the individual's needs and social environment. 

Nutritional assessment
Research demonstrates that older adults with diabetes can benefit from nutrition education designed to improve knowledge and skills necessary for diabetes management. Before beginning dietary education, patients' understanding of diabetes and nutrition should be evaluated. Nutritional status can be assessed using tools such as the Mini Nutritional Assessment. A thorough assessment should also be made of individual food preferences, meal preparation capabilities, and potential barriers to adequate nutrition (e.g., poor dentition, swallowing difficulties, gastrointestinal complaints, decreased appetite, decreased thirst, use of taste-altering medications, limited finances, and social isolation).

Weight loss and malnutrition must also be assessed in the elderly because unintentional weight loss is known to increase morbidity and mortality in elderly patients with diabetes. The risk for weight loss and catabolic state increases for this patient population after acute illness and hospitalization. Weight loss and catabolic state is not easy. However, regardless of the definition, most of us recognize polypharmacy when we see it. The diabetes education assessment should include accurate identification of the medications the patient is taking. Asking patients to bring their medications with them can facilitate this. When educators see redundancy in the medication regimen, such as someone being on a sulfonylurea and more insulin, the educator should bring it to the provider’s attention. 

Comorbidities and polypharmacy In a longitudinal study of 1,544 patients in the Netherlands, a diagnosis of diabetes was a major predictor of whether elderly patients were at risk for polypharmacy. This makes sense in light of the numerous conditions that can coexist with diabetes, such as hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and hyperlipidemia. Another study found that patients taking five or more prescription drugs were at higher risk for hypoglycemia, with the strongest predictors of severe hypoglycemia being advanced age, polypharmacy, and recent hospitalization. When insulin is added to the mix, the potential for problems and errors is even greater. For these reasons, assessing polypharmacy in these patients and attempting to simplify their medication regimen is important. Defining polypharmacy—whether it is the use of 5, 10, or 20 medications—is not easy. However, regardless of the definition, most of us recognize polypharmacy when we see it. The diabetes education assessment should include accurate identification of the medications the patient is taking. Asking patients to bring their medications with them can facilitate this. When educators see redundancy in the medication regimen, such as someone being on a sulfonylurea and a short-acting insulin, the educator should bring it to the provider’s attention. For insulin regimens, the simpler the better. Insulin pens can eliminate errors associated with drawing up insulin. In some cases, recommending that patients with type 2 diabetes discontinue insulin use may be the best option. The need to prevent hypoglycemia, particularly in the frail older adult, is a significant concern. 

Clinical variables Diabetes-related knowledge Older adults should be carefully evaluated to determine their knowledge and understanding of diabetes and their ability to learn and apply new self-care skills, such as home blood glucose monitoring, meal planning, and recognizing how and when to administer insulin or take oral diabetes medications. Assessment of social supports, transportation issues, financial difficulties, and cognitive and functional status, as discussed below, is also important.

Treatment goals and management skills may need to be assessed more frequently in this patient population to keep pace with functional and cognitive changes that may occur relatively quickly. Referring these patients to disciplines outside of the diabetes clinic for additional assessment, DSME, or medical management may be necessary.

Table 1. Clinical and Functional Heterogeneity Among Older Adults With Diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical heterogeneity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Duration of disease: recently diagnosed versus long-standing diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Presence of complications: no or few versus multiple diabetes complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Comorbidities: no or few versus multiple comorbidities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Variable life expectancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional heterogeneity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Physical status: active versus frail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cognitive status: intact versus impaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Psychological status: healthy versus depressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Social status: supported versus isolated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Refs. 8 and 9

Nutritional assessment
Research demonstrates that older adults with diabetes can benefit from nutrition education designed to improve knowledge and skills necessary for diabetes management. Before beginning dietary education, patients’ understanding of diabetes and nutrition should be evaluated. Nutritional status can be assessed using tools such as the Mini Nutritional Assessment. A thorough assessment should also be made of individual food preferences, meal preparation capabilities, and potential barriers to adequate nutrition (e.g., poor dentition, swallowing difficulties, gastrointestinal complaints, decreased appetite, decreased thirst, use of taste-altering medications, limited finances, and social isolation).

Weight loss and malnutrition must also be assessed in the elderly because unintentional weight loss is known to increase morbidity and mortality in elderly patients with diabetes. The risk for weight loss and catabolic state increases for this patient population after acute illness and hospitalization. Moderation in diet and increased physical activity, rather than strict calorie restriction, should be encouraged in older adults who wish to lose weight.

The goals of nutrition assessment for elders are summarized in Table 3. The intent of the individual nutrition plan is to minimize barriers in nutrition management and facilitate changes in eating behavior that will result in improved clinical outcomes, improved function, and enhanced quality of life.

Physical activity assessment
Older adults are at increased risk for sedentary lifestyle and deconditioning. Contributing factors include recent acute illnesses, coexisting medical conditions, chronic pain, lack of access to a safe environment for physical activity, history of falls, and fear of falls. These factors need to be assessed before developing a physical activity plan. Older adults benefit significantly from regular physical activity. In addition to improving blood glucose, blood pressure, and blood lipid control, exercise also increases cardiopulmonary capacity, muscle strength, gait and balance, and overall quality of life. It is important to assess the functional capacity of each older patient before prescribing an exercise regimen and to tailor the regimen to each individual’s needs and social environment.

Comorbidities and polypharmacy
In a longitudinal study of 1,544 patients in the Netherlands, a diagnosis of diabetes was a major predictor of whether elderly patients were at risk for polypharmacy. This makes sense in light of the numerous conditions that can coexist with diabetes, such as hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and hyperlipidemia. Another study found that patients taking five or more prescription drugs were at higher risk for hypoglycemia, with the strongest predictors of severe hypoglycemia being advanced age, polypharmacy, and recent hospitalization. When insulin is added to the mix, the potential for problems and errors is even greater. For these reasons, assessing polypharmacy in these patients and attempting to simplify their medication regimen is important.

Defining polypharmacy—whether it is the use of 5, 10, or 20 medications—is not easy. However, regardless of the definition, most of us recognize polypharmacy when we see it. The diabetes education assessment should include accurate identification of the medications the patient is taking. Asking patients to bring their medications with them can facilitate this. When educators see redundancy in the medication regimen, such as someone being on a sulfonylurea and a short-acting insulin, the educator should bring it to the provider’s attention. For insulin regimens, the simpler the better. Insulin pens can eliminate errors associated with drawing up insulin. In some cases, recommending that patients with type 2 diabetes discontinue insulin use may be the best option. The need to prevent hypoglycemia, particularly in the frail older adult, is a significant concern.

Table 2. Guiding Principles for DSME for Older Adults

| 1. Individualize DSME                          |
| • Consider clinical variables.                |
| • Consider functional variables.              |
| • Consider personal preferences.              |
| 2. Weigh potential benefits versus potential risks |
| • Consider quality of life.                   |
| • Consider life expectancy.                   |
| 3. Involve multiple disciplines as needed     |
| 4. Involve care partner as needed             |
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Cognitive dysfunction

The risk of cognitive dysfunction

increases with age, and emerging evi-
dence now suggests that this decline is

more significant in patients with dia-
betes17–19 and is associated with poor-
er diabetes control.20

Cognitive impairment in this popu-

lation often goes undetected and, if

severe enough, can dramatically affect

patients’ ability to learn about and

manage their diabetes. It is important to

assess and address cognitive dys-
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Physical disability

Older adults with diabetes have

increased risk of physical disabili-

ies.25,27 They have more difficulty per-

forming activities of daily living (eat-
ing, dressing, and toileting) and

instrumental activities of daily living

(using the telephone, preparing meals,

traveling, managing finances, and so

forth). In addition, older adults are at

higher risk of hearing loss, vision

problems, decreased mobility, falls,
fear of falls, and chronic pain. Patients

who are experiencing difficulties with

daily tasks will need individual rather

than group DSME. Treatment regi-

mens will need to be relatively simple.

Learning new skills will take longer

and may require referral to a visiting

nurse to make sure the task is fully

integrated into the patient’s self-care

regimen. A physical therapy or local

elder services referral may be needed

to assess the home environment and
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Schedule multiple sessions, if nec-
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• Provide individual rather than group

education.

• Recommend further evaluation and

treatment of depression and cogni-
tive dysfunction before making any

diabetes treatment regimen changes.

• Use memory aids (e.g., personalized

handouts) to reinforce points made
during face-to-face sessions.

• Make sure patients understand how
to identify hypoglycemia and when
to call the provider.

• Make every effort to minimize the

complexity of meal planning and to

engage the patient’s spouse or oth-

ers living with the patient in creat-
ing a home environment that sup-

ports positive dietary change.

• Prescribe weight loss diets with

great caution.

Personal preferences. Eliciting patients’

individual preferences with respect to
care is especially important in elderly

patients with diabetes. Treatment

plans that include patients’ preferences

enhance adherence, increase patient

satisfaction, and increase the likely-

hood of improved patient outcomes.2

As discussed earlier, the older adult

population is heterogeneous. Some

Table 3. Goals of Individualized Nutrition Education for Older Adults With Diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Avoidance of hypoglycemia</td>
<td>• Regular meal times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Consistent carbohydrate intake at meals and snacks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Extra snack if extra activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Available treatment for hypoglycemia at all times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Consumption of a healthy diet/blood lipid management</td>
<td>• Three meals daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Lean meat, fish, poultry, or legumes every day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• At least one serving of low-fat dairy products daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• At least two servings of fruits and vegetables daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Six or more cups of fluids daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Maintenance of a personally reasonable weight</td>
<td>• Freedom from barriers that interfere with the purchase, preparation, or consumption of a healthy diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Social meal times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Smaller portions if consuming high-fat or high-carbohydrate foods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diabetes Spectrum Volume 19, Number 4, 2006
patients will need no adjustment to their treatment plan, whereas others who are having physical or cognitive challenges may need significant changes to their regimen (Table 4).

Weigh Potential Benefits Versus Potential Risks

Hypoglycemia considerations. Hypoglycemia is a major side effect of diabetes treatment. Avoiding hypoglycemia among vulnerable elders is a top priority. In the elderly, hypoglycemia may occur at lower blood glucose levels, be harder to recognize, and result in poorer outcomes compared with younger adults. In the elderly, hypoglycemia may manifest itself solely in terms of neuroglycopenic symptoms (dizziness, weakness, confusion, delirium) as opposed to adrenergic symptoms (tachycardia, palpitation, sweating). In addition, hypoglycemia may exacerbate common comorbidities in the elderly (e.g., coronary artery disease and cerebrovascular disease). Frail elderly may have poor outcomes, such as injurious falls, even with mild hypoglycemia.

Quality of life. Comorbidities, such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, coronary artery disease, or cerebrovascular disease, often dominate the overall health of older patients. In addition, the functional status of older adults is often declining, shifting the focus of care from optimizing treatment goals for individual chronic diseases to optimizing function and quality of life. It is important when prioritizing treatment goals to take patients’ quality of life into consideration. The overall goal of care for older adults with diabetes is to achieve the best possible glycemic control while maintaining independence and optimizing quality of life.

Life expectancy. In some elderly with diabetes, life expectancy may be shorter than the time needed to benefit from an intervention. Before recommending complicated, costly, or uncomfortable treatment regimens that may result in harmful side effects, reduced adherence to recommended therapies, and reduced general well-being, the timeframe needed to realize benefits should be carefully considered relative to life expectancy.

Involve Multiple Disciplines

A multidisciplinary approach is essential in caring for the elderly. Multidisciplinary intervention is advocated to adequately address the multifaceted nature of diabetes treatment, even in younger adults. Older patients with diabetes are clinically and functionally even more diverse than their younger counterparts and therefore have even greater need for the services of specialists, possibly including nurses, dietitians, exercise physiologists, behavioral medicine specialists, social workers, pharmacists, and rehabilitation professionals.

Involve Care Partners

In chronic illnesses such as diabetes, day-to-day care responsibilities fall mostly on patients. However, when patients are unable to assume full responsibility for their self-care, family members, friends, or other care partners may need to be involved. In older adults in particular, care partners can play a critical role in managing chronic illness, tipping the balance toward effective rather than failed self-care. If needed, family members or other care-givers should be included in DSME.

| Table 4. DSME for Older Adults With Physical Limitations or Cognitive Dysfunction |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Monitoring                        | Use meters with the following features:                           |
|                                  | • Large display windows/ bold numbering                           |
|                                  | • Easy to hold                                                   |
|                                  | • No coding or handling of strips                                 |
| Insulin                          | • Simplify the insulin regimen.                                  |
|                                  | • Avoid sliding-scale insulin.                                   |
|                                  | • Change to an insulin pen or use prefilled syringes.            |
|                                  | • Involve the caregiver if available.                            |
|                                  | • Use syringe magnifiers if pens are not an option.              |
|                                  | • Discuss the patient’s health care provider whether discontinuing insulin is an option. |
| Medications                      | • Make sure the medication list is accurate.                     |
|                                  | • Ask patients to bring their pill bottles with them to visits    |
|                                  | and have them read the pill bottle instructions aloud.           |
|                                  | • Use memory aids for taking medication.                         |
|                                  | • Involve a family member or friend if available.                |
|                                  | • Make sure patients understand why they are taking each pill.   |
|                                  | • Refer to a visiting nurse if needed.                           |
|                                  | • Discuss simplifying the regimen with the provider;            |
|                                  | suggest use of combination oral agents to simplify regimen.     |
| Hyperglycemia                    | • May have less polyuria and less polydypsia.                    |
|                                  | • Emphasize need for regular hydration and increased monitoring, |
|                                  | particularly on sick days.                                      |
|                                  | • Give very specific guidelines of when to call the health care  |
|                                  | provider.                                                        |
| Hypoglycemia                     | • May have less symptoms of hypoglycemia, particularly if on a   |
|                                  | β-blocker                                                        |
|                                  | • Emphasize recognition of neuroglycopenic symptoms.             |
|                                  | • Emphasize importance of monitoring particularly before driving|
|                                  | • Do not rely on patient reports alone to determine whether      |
|                                  | low blood glucose episodes are occurring. Elders may confuse    |
|                                  | symptoms of low blood glucose with other conditions or may not   |
|                                  | remember hypoglycemic episodes.                                  |
| General adaptation of            | Less emphasis on information                                     |
| educational materials            | • Simplify the material (low-literacy material may be a good     |
|                                  | option).                                                         |
|                                  | • Use a black font with type size > 12 point on white paper.     |
|                                  | • Invite a family member or friend to the session if possible.    |
|                                  | • Always provide written instructions.                           |
|                                  | • Individual educational sessions are preferred over group.       |

From Research to Practice /Diabetes in Older Adults
CASE STUDY
The following case study illustrates the application of the general principles for DSME in the elderly.

Mr. Z. is a 78-year-old Russian immigrant who has had type 2 diabetes for 20 years. His blood glucose was initially controlled by oral diabetes medications (sulfonylureas) until ~ 8 years ago, when a mix of regular and NPH insulin twice daily was prescribed by his primary care physician. In addition to diabetes, Mr. Z. has a history of hypertension and hyperlipidemia, for which his physician has prescribed multiple medications.

Mr. Z. has weighed ~ 220 lb most of his adult life. This past year, he lost 10 lb. His current BMI is 28 kg/m², which puts him in the overweight category.

Since his wife died, he lives alone. About 1 year ago, a neighbor found Mr. Z. wandering aimlessly in the street. The neighbor called 911, and Mr. Z. was taken to the local hospital emergency department. His blood glucose on arrival was 27 mg/dl.

Mr. Z.'s primary care physician referred him to the Joslin Geriatric Diabetes Clinic. Initial medical evaluation was remarkable for bilateral cataracts, blood pressure 160/100 mmHg, LDL cholesterol 130 mg/dl, serum creatinine 2.1 mg/dl, and hemoglobin A1c (A1C) 9.2%. Screening by a geriatric nurse practitioner identified mild depression and cognitive impairment.

A skills review with the nurse educator revealed that Mr. Z. had reduced his insulin dose after being taken to the emergency department for hypoglycemia a year earlier. He stated that he was unwilling to endure another hypoglycemic episode and so had decided to cut his insulin dose. His poor vision did not interfere with the ability of patients to manage their diabetes. Social isolation contributes to depression and poor dietary intake and in these ways also interferes with diabetes management. Weight loss, which had been welcomed and indeed encouraged in younger overweight adults with diabetes, is a negative prognostic indicator in the elderly; unintentional weight loss in the elderly has been found to be associated with increased morbidity and mortality. Great caution must be exercised, therefore, when prescribing weight loss for the elderly.

After consulting with Mr. Z. regarding his treatment goals and preferences, a treatment plan was formulated that included the following components:

1. Mr. Z.'s diabetes medication regimen was simplified. This was done primarily to decrease his risk for hypoglycemia. As an elderly man with visual and cognitive impairment living alone, he was at high risk for an injurious fall resulting from hypoglycemia. In addition, his fear of hypoglycemia was causing him to reduce his insulin dose and overtreat perceived hypoglycemia, behaviors that were counterproductive to his glycemic control. Simplifying his diabetes medication regimen was therefore a top priority.

Blood work indicated that Mr. Z. had enough endogenous insulin production to consider discontinuing insulin and substituting an oral diabetes medication. A thiazolidinedione (TZD) was prescribed. TZDs carry almost no risk for hypoglycemia. They are bimodal in action: they increase insulin sensitivity in skeletal muscle and decrease hepatic glucose production. TZDs are particularly useful in older patients with impaired renal function, such as Mr. Z., in whom oral medications quality of life. Sulfonylureas and sulfonylureas are contraindicated because they are metabolized in the kidney. Additional benefits of TZDs are that they may improve lipid profiles, which would benefit Mr. Z.'s hyperlipidemia, and they need to be taken only once daily, decreasing medication noncompliance. TZDs are contraindicated in the presence of congestive heart failure and hepatic impairment, but Mr. Z. had neither of these conditions.

2. An antidepressant was prescribed. Mr. Z.'s depression was contributing to his social isolation and also to erratic or decreased food intake. Treating his depression could ultimately reduce his risk for hypoglycemia and weight loss and improve his quality of life.

3. Mr. Z. was referred for cognitive testing. He had screened positive for cognitive impairment. However, it would be useful to identify his specific type of impairment to more effectively compensate for it when formulating his diabetes management plan.

4. Social meal times were arranged for Mr. Z. at a senior center close to his home. Meal times are an ideal way to increase socialization, especially among the elderly. Eating alone was contributing to his depression and also to skipped meals and weight loss. People tend to increase their food intake when they eat with others rather than alone.

5. Mr. Z. was taught how to use a talking blood glucose monitor so that he could independently monitor his glucose levels at home, reducing his risk for hypoglycemia.

6. To control his hypertension and hyperlipidemia, Mr. Z.'s antihypertensive and lipid-lowering medications were increased. Clinical trials have demonstrated that it takes only 2–3 years for the...
benefits of blood pressure and blood lipid control to be realized in terms of reduced complications, whereas it takes 8 years for the benefits of improved glycemic control to be realized.31,32

7. Mr. Z. was referred to an ophthalmologist to evaluate the possibilities for vision improvement. Improving his vision can help him prevent medication errors and decrease his risk for an injurious fall.

When Mr. Z. returned to the clinic several months later, his quality of life and mood had improved. He had had no hypoglycemic episodes, and he no longer worried about low blood glucose levels. He had more social contact, reporting that he ate his midday meal at a local senior center 3 or 4 days during the week. His diabetes medication regimen was significantly simpler to follow.

His diabetes self-management also improved. He was able to perform home blood glucose monitoring independently. His meal times were more consistent, and he rarely skipped meals. His A1C measured 7.8%, 1.4 percentage points lower than at his initial clinic visit.

For a younger person, the recommended A1C goal is < 7%. For older adults, the A1C goal depends on age, life expectancy, presence of diabetes complications, and presence of comorbidities. Although Mr. Z. is a relatively healthy older adult with diabetes, some factors (e.g., living alone, impaired vision, history of severe hypoglycemia, and history of irregular meals) put him at risk for injuries. For this reason, the geriatric diabetes team decided not to make changes in his diabetes medication regimen. A 3-month follow-up visit was scheduled to reassess his A1C and functional status.

Summary and Conclusion
When evaluating and treating older adults with diabetes, it is important to recognize the specific needs of this patient population. The AGS and the AADE have provided diabetes educators with some important initial guidelines to work with. However, much more research is needed into how diabetes affects older adults and which educational approaches will work best for them.

The most important first step in providing appropriate care for these patients is to ensure that an adequate initial assessment is provided for them. This assessment should take into account the special needs of this population and evaluate cognitive and physical issues as well as general diabetes concerns. Then, and only then, will this patient population be well served.
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