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ABSTRACT

Background and objective. Connections between oral health and systemic disease, specifically diabetes, are well described in the literature. Screening strategies for diabetes in dental settings and dental screenings in diabetes care settings exist. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the communication and referral patterns between dentists and physicians in a rural state with respect to recognition of dental disease and diabetes.

Methods. Surveys were sent to the members of the North Dakota Academy of Family Physicians, the North Dakota Medical Association, and the North Dakota Dental Association.

Results. Overall, 85 responses were collected, with 100% of responding physicians and dentists answering “yes” to a perceived link between oral and systemic health. Physician respondents tended to make a referral for dental evaluation in patients with prediabetes or diabetes more often than dentists referred patients with periodontal disease to physicians.

Conclusion. Awareness of the link between dental disease and diabetes and of the need for referral is higher among physicians than among dentists. Opportunity exists to improve awareness and increase referrals.
terns between rural dentists and physicians with respect to recognition of dental disease and diabetes. The survey tool used was created by author P.M.F. as part of an honors thesis and was used to elicit knowledge from respondents. The survey was approved by P.M.F.’s thesis committee and by the University of North Dakota institutional review board, which also reviewed and approved the study.

Surveys were mailed to all members of the North Dakota Academy of Family Physicians (289 members), the North Dakota Medical Association (~1,000 members), and the North Dakota Dental Association (420 members) through each organization, and responses were collected electronically via the Qualtrics online survey program. Thus, the investigators did not know the identity or location of the respondents other than that they practiced in North Dakota. The surveys had some customization for each of the two disciplines. Surveys also included questions regarding experience working with patients who have periodontal disease and diabetes and referral patterns regarding periodontal disease and diabetes.

Results
Of the 1,289 physician surveys and 420 dentist surveys mailed, 85 responses were collected (56 from dentists and 29 from physicians; response rates 2.25 and 13.33%, respectively). One hundred percent of responding physicians and dentists answered “yes” to perceiving a link between oral and systemic health.

Of the dentists surveyed, 21.4% reported treating ≤25 patients with gingivitis or periodontal disease, 28.67% reported treating 26–50 such patients, 30.4% reported treating 51–100 such patients, and 19.6% reported treating ≥101 such patients each month. Although the majority of dentists surveyed reported treating ≥50 patients with gingivitis or periodontal disease per month, dentists did not universally report referring these patients to physicians (Figure 1). Similarly, 51.72% of physicians reported treating ≤25 patients with prediabetes or diabetes, 27.59% reported treating 26–50 such patients, 17.24% reported treating 51–100 such patients, and 3.45% reported treating ≥101 such patients each month.

Conclusion
Although dentists and physicians in this study noted the important interaction between oral health and systemic health, in this case of periodontal disease and diabetes, referrals between these two specialties do not always occur. This indicates an opportunity to promote interprofessional interaction through education about appropriate referral patterns between dentists and physicians to potentially improve the health of patients with periodontal disease and diabetes. This study was limited by its low response rates, which may limit assessment of referral patterns and generalizability of the findings.
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