A

ccording to estimates from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 30.3 million people in the United States currently live with diabetes (1). For people living with diabetes, optimization of glycemic control is one of several key treatment approaches central to avoiding or delaying the microvascular and macrovascular complications of the disease. Although lifestyle interventions are integral to any diabetes management plan, this brief review will focus on current recommendations from the American Diabetes Association’s (ADA’s) Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2018 (Standards) for the use of antihyperglycemic therapies in the management of people with type 2 diabetes. In so doing, it additionally highlights drug- and patient-specific factors to consider when intensifying antihyperglycemic therapy.

IN BRIEF Each year, the American Diabetes Association updates its Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes to inform clinicians on components of diabetes care, general treatment goals and guidelines, and tools to evaluate quality of care. In the 2018 Standards, recommendations related to the use of antihyperglycemic therapy in adults with type 2 diabetes were updated in consideration of new evidence published since the last iteration in 2017. This brief review summarizes key recommendations from the 2018 Standards related to the pharmacologic management of people with type 2 diabetes.

Glycemic Goal Setting

Table 1 provides a summary of general glycemic recommendations for non-pregnant adults with diabetes (2). It should be noted that, although general recommendations for A1C, preprandial glucose, and postprandial glucose levels are provided, all glycemic goals should be individualized based on person-specific considerations (2). Factors that may inform glycemic goals for an individual may include risk of hypoglycemia and other adverse drug events, type 2 diabetes disease duration, life expectancy, comorbidity burden, presence of vascular complications, attitudes and treatment expectations of the individual, and resources and support available to implement a given treatment plan (2,3). Ultimately, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Summary of Glycemic Recommendations for Many Non-Pregnant Adults With Diabetes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting (preprandial) glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postprandial glucose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*More or less stringent goals may be appropriate for individual patients. Adapted from ref. 2.
ADA recommends that treatment decisions should be made and glycemic goal-setting should occur in collaboration with individual patients whenever possible to incorporate their needs, preferences, and values (2).

**Initial Antihyperglycemic Therapy**

At the time of a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, the ADA recommends prompt initiation of lifestyle management, determination of appropriate glycemic targets (inclusive of A1C goals), and initiation of pharmacologic therapy (2). Figure 1 provides a summary of general recommendations from the ADA’s Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2018 for the use of antihyperglycemic therapy in adults with type 2 diabetes (2). As noted at the top of the figure, the ADA suggests the following initial pharmacologic treatment approaches based on the measured A1C value at the time of diagnosis:

- **A1C <9.0%**: consider antihyperglycemic monotherapy
- **A1C ≥9.0%**: consider antihyperglycemic dual therapy
- **A1C ≥10.0%, blood glucose ≥300 mg/dL, or patient is markedly symptomatic**: consider combination injectable therapy (as outlined in Figure 2)

It should be noted that, although initial combination injectable therapy (basal-bolus insulin, basal insulin/glucagon-like peptide 1 [GLP-1] receptor agonist, or a premixed insulin formulation) is recommended initially for individuals who have an A1C ≥10.0%, who have a blood glucose level ≥300 mg/dL, or are markedly symptomatic, recently approved fixed-dose basal insulin/GLP-1 receptor agonist combination products are not indicated for use in this situation (4,5). Both currently approved products (insulin degludec/liraglutide and insulin glargine/lixisenatide) are indicated for use in patients who are already receiving one of the agents contained within the fixed-dose combination product (i.e., the insulin degludec/liraglutide product is indicated in people inadequately controlled on basal insulin or liraglutide) (4,5).

Focusing on situations in which monotherapy is indicated, metformin is recommended as the preferred first-line therapy at the time of diagnosis provided there are no contraindications for its use (such as an estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] <30 ml/min/1.73 m²) (2). Metformin is preferred as a first-line agent because of its demonstrated efficacy, safety, low cost, and possible benefits in reducing the risks of cardiovascular events and death (6,7). For patients with a contraindication to or significant intolerance of metformin, initial monotherapy can begin with an agent from another medication class, with the choice of agent determined based on the specific needs and preferences of the individual. Table 2 provides a summary of select drug characteristics that may be considered when selecting an appropriate agent for use in a person with type 2 diabetes. Following are key recommendations provided in the 2018 Standards related to metformin use, along with their evidence grades. (For an explanation of the evidence grades, see the full 2018 Standards [2]).

- **Metformin, if not contraindicated and if tolerated, is the preferred initial pharmacologic agent for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. A**
- **Long-term use of metformin may be associated with biochemical vitamin B12 deficiency, and periodic measurement of vitamin B12 levels should be considered in metformin-treated patients, especially in those with anemia or peripheral neuropathy. B**
- **Metformin should be continued when used in combination with other agents, including insulin, if not contraindicated and if tolerated. A**
- **In patients with type 2 diabetes with stable congestive heart failure (CHF), metformin may be used if eGFR remains ≥30 mL/min/1.73 m² but should be avoided in unstable or hospitalized patients with CHF. B**

As shown in Figure 1, A1C should be measured 3 months after initiation of monotherapy (2). If the individualized A1C goal is not achieved after 3 months of consistent monotherapy, combination therapy should be considered.

**Combination Therapy**

For individuals requiring dual combination therapy to meet individualized glycemic goals, the agent(s) recommended for add-on to metformin are stratified based on the presence or absence of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) (2). For people without ASCVD, the ADA recommends considering a combination of metformin plus another agent from one of the following preferred medication classes: sulfonylurea, thiazolidinedione, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP-4) inhibitor, sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitor, GLP-1 receptor agonist, or basal insulin (2). The choice of which agent to use in combination with metformin should be based on drug- and person-specific considerations. For those with ASCVD, however, the ADA recommends a combination of metformin with an agent proven to reduce major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) and/or cardiovascular mortality (2). Based on current evidence, the ADA recommends the consideration of liraglutide, empagliflozin, or canagliflozin in such individuals (2). The 2018 Standards include the following specific recommendations for the selection of an agent for add-on to metformin in those requiring additional glucose lowering (2.8–10).

- **In patients without ASCVD, if monotherapy or dual therapy does not achieve or maintain the A1C goal over 3 months, add an additional antihyperglycemic agent based on drug-specific and patient factors. A**
Antihyperglycemic Therapy in Adults With Type 2 Diabetes

At diagnosis, initiate lifestyle management, set A1C target, and initiate pharmacologic therapy based on A1C:

- **A1C is less than 9%, consider Monotherapy.**
- **A1C is greater than or equal to 9%, consider Dual Therapy.**
- **A1C is greater than or equal to 10%, blood glucose is greater than or equal to 300 mg/dL or patient is markedly symptomatic, consider Combination Injectable Therapy (See Figure 2).**

### Monotherapy

**Lifestyle Management + Metformin**

Initiate metformin therapy if no contraindications* (See Table 8.1 in ref. 2).

- **A1C at target after 3 months of monotherapy?**
  - **Yes:** - Monitor A1C every 3–6 months
  - **No:** - Assess medication-taking behavior
  - Consider Dual Therapy

### Dual Therapy

**Lifestyle Management + Metformin + Additional Agent**

- **ASCVD?**
  - **Yes:** - Add agent proven to reduce major adverse cardiovascular events and/or cardiovascular mortality (see recommendations with * on p. 575 and Table 8.1 in ref. 2).
  - **No:** - Add second agent after consideration of drug-specific effects and patient factors (See Table 8.1 in ref. 2).

- **A1C at target after 3 months of dual therapy?**
  - **Yes:** - Monitor A1C every 3–6 months
  - **No:** - Assess medication-taking behavior
  - Consider Triple Therapy

### Triple Therapy

**Lifestyle Management + Metformin + Two Additional Agents**

Add third agent based on drug-specific effects and patient factors* (See Table 8.1 in ref. 2).

- **A1C at target after 3 months of triple therapy?**
  - **Yes:** - Monitor A1C every 3–6 months
  - **No:** - Assess medication-taking behavior
  - Consider Combination Injectable Therapy (See Figure 2).

### Combination Injectable Therapy

(See Figure 2)

**FIGURE 1.** Antihyperglycemic therapy in adults with type 2 diabetes. *If patient does not tolerate or has contraindications to metformin, consider agents from another class in Table 8.1 of ref. 2. #GLP-1 receptor agonists and DPP-4 inhibitors should not be prescribed in combination. If a patient with ASCVD is not yet on an agent with evidence of cardiovascular risk reduction, consider adding. Reprinted with permission from the American Diabetes Association (2).
In patients with type 2 diabetes and established ASCVD, anti-
hyperglycemic therapy should begin with lifestyle management
and metformin and subsequently incorporate an agent proven to
reduce MACE and cardiovascular mortality (currently empagliflozin
and liraglutide), after considering drug-specific and patient factors.

In patients with type 2 diabetes and established ASCVD, after
lifestyle management and metformin, the antihyperglycemic
agent canagliflozin may be considered to reduce MACE, based
on drug-specific and patient factors.

All three of the recommendations highlighted above make note
of the importance of drug-specific and patient factors when selecting
an appropriate therapy as add-on to metformin. Factors outlined in
Table 2 can be considered when selecting an agent in a given indi-
vidual (2). Beyond factors such as efficacy, hypoglycemia risk, weight
effects, cost, and route of administration, recently published data have
highlighted the additional benefits of GLP-1 receptor agonists and SGLT2
inhibitors on cardiovascular and renal outcomes (8–10). For example,
in dedicated cardiovascular outcome trials with empagliflozin, liraglutide,
and canagliflozin, all were shown to slow the progression of nephropathy,
with empagliflozin and canagliflozin showing benefit in preventing hos-
pitalization for heart failure (8–10). Such considerations may drive the
use of these agents in a given individual based on the presence of key
comorbidities.

Similar to the decision-making process discussed above for trans-
sitioning from monotherapy to dual therapy in those not achiev-

**FIGURE 2.** Combination injectable therapy for type 2 diabetes. hypo, hypoglycemia; SMBG, self-monitoring of blood glu-
cose. Reprinted with permission from the American Diabetes Association (2).
or maintaining glycemic goals, triple combination therapy is recommended for those not achieving or maintaining treatment goals with dual therapy alone (2). If triple therapy is proven insufficient to meet glycemic goals, consideration of combination injectable therapy is recommended.

Combination Injectable Therapy

Figure 2 provides recommendations for the implementation of combination injectable therapy in people with type 2 diabetes (2). The figure, starting at the top, provides some guidance on the initiation and titration of basal insulin, inclusive of a recommended starting dose (10 units/day or 0.1–0.2 units/kg/day) and guidance on titration of the basal insulin to achieve an individualized fasting blood glucose (FBG) target (2). If goal A1C is not met following basal insulin optimization and achieving the target FBG, the addition of injectable agents targeting postprandial glucose excursions is recommended. For this scenario, Figure 2 outlines three recommended combination injectable approaches after basal insulin optimization: 1) the addition of one rapid-acting insulin injection with the largest meal, 2) the addition of a GLP-1 receptor agonist, or 3) transition to a premixed insulin product administered twice daily (2). Although basal insulin in combination with a GLP-1 receptor agonist is associated with less hypoglycemia and the potential for weight loss instead of weight gain when compared to a basal-bolus insulin approach (11,12), these potential benefits must be weighed against the potential for gastrointestinal intolerance and the cost of treatment. It should be noted that if one of these approaches proves to be ineffective or is otherwise not tolerated, changing to an alternative approach might be appropriate.

Moving downward in Figure 2, if initial combination injectable
### TABLE 2. Key Characteristics of Preferred Antihyperglycemic Drugs, continued from p. 258

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication Class</th>
<th>Compound(s)</th>
<th>Efficacy</th>
<th>Hypoglycemia</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Oral/SQ</th>
<th>Additional Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Thiazolidinediones | • Pioglitazone  
• Rosiglitazone | High | No | Gain | Low | Oral | • Potential benefit in ASCVD (pioglitazone)  
• Increased risk in CHF  
• Risk of bone fracture |
| Sulfonylureas (second generation) | • Glimepiride  
• Glipizide  
• Glyburide | High | Yes | Gain | Low | Oral | |
| Insulin | Human insulin  
• Short-acting: regular human insulin  
• Intermediate-acting: NPH | Highest | Yes | Gain | Low | SQ | • Injection site reactions relatively common  
• Higher risk of hypoglycemia with human insulin versus insulin analogs |
|  | Insulin analogs  
• Rapid-acting:  
  o Insulin aspart  
  o Insulin glulisine  
  o Insulin lispro  
  o Inhaled human insulin  
• Long-acting:  
  o Insulin detemir  
  o Insulin glargine (U-100)  
• Ultra-long-acting:  
  o Insulin degludec  
  o Insulin glargine (U-300) | | | | | | |

Adapted from ref. 2 and ref. 3. DKA, diabetic ketoacidosis; DKD, diabetic kidney disease; ER, extended release; SQ, subcutaneous.
therapy does not result in adequate glycemic control, treatment can be further intensified to include basal insulin in combination with two or more rapid-acting insulin injections per day or the use of a premixed insulin analog three times daily with meals (2). Of note, it is recommended that metformin therapy be continued in patients receiving combination injectable therapy, if not contraindicated and if tolerated, for further glycemic benefits (2).

Conclusion and Key Takeaway Points
A key message of the 2018 Standards is that the care of people with diabetes should be individualized. The recommendations are quite clear that a “one-size-fits-all” approach is not practical or effective when managing people with type 2 diabetes. Accordingly, the recommendations briefly reviewed here provide a framework for clinicians to intensify anti-hyperglycemic therapy based on individualized needs and treatment goals.

The following are key takeaway messages from the 2018 Standards regarding the pharmacologic approach to glycemic treatment:

- Glycemic goals and treatment approaches should be individualized based on the individual’s clinical needs and preferences.

- Metformin is recommended as the preferred first-line pharmacologic agent in people with type 2 diabetes unless they have a contraindication or significant intolerance to treatment.

- The choice of agent to use in combination therapy for the treatment of type 2 diabetes should be driven by patient- and drug-specific characteristics and considerations and patient preferences.

- For people with ASCVD who require combination therapy to meet glycemic goals, the addition of an agent proven to reduce MACE and/or cardiovascular mortality should be considered.

- Combination injectable therapy is recommended for patients requiring multiple pharmacological agents to meet glycemic treatment goals.

For additional details and discussion regarding pharmacologic approaches to glycemic management, please refer to the full 2018 Standards (2), available online at professional.diabetes.org/standards.
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