Combining Glucagon-Like Peptide 1 Receptor Agonists and Sodium–Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors to Target Multiple Organ Defects in Type 2 Diabetes
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Long-term risks of macro- and microvascular complications may be reduced in people with type 2 diabetes who achieve early and sustained glycemic control. Delays in attaining A1C goals are associated with poor long-term cardiovascular (CV) outcomes. Glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists and sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors are glucose-lowering therapies that act through complementary mechanisms of action with regard to the pathophysiologic defects of type 2 diabetes. Trials of agents in both drug classes have demonstrated improvements in CV and renal outcomes. This review discusses the rationale for combination therapy with a GLP-1 receptor agonist and an SGLT2 inhibitor, including early initiation of this combination in newly diagnosed patients. This combination may lead to timely glycemic control and potentially additive CV and renal benefits. Clinical studies of the combination have shown partially additive effects on A1C reduction, additive effects on weight reduction, and potentially synergistic effects on blood pressure reduction. Long-term studies are needed to determine whether the combination provides an additional effect on CV and renal outcomes compared with agents from either drug class when used alone.

Type 2 diabetes is a chronic, progressive disease characterized by impaired insulin secretion or insulin resistance (1). Patients with type 2 diabetes have an increased risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications, which can lead to high rates of morbidity and mortality. Early and sustained achievement of glycemic control may reduce the long-term risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications of diabetes (2), whereas delays in achieving A1C goals are often associated with poor long-term cardiovascular (CV) outcomes (3,4). In addition, patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes often already have several comorbidities associated with an increased risk of CV disease (CVD), including obesity, hypertension, and dyslipidemia (5). Therefore, it is important that A1C goals are achieved as early as possible to halt the progression of diabetes complications.

Current treatment guidelines recommend regular assessment (e.g., every 3 months) and adjustment of treatment, including the introduction of combination therapy, if A1C remains above the target level (2,6,7). Given the multifactorial pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes, disease management should include consideration of the multiple underlying defects of type 2 diabetes and which drug classes address these (Figure 1) (8–11). Clinical guidelines therefore recommend the stepwise addition of different classes of glucose-lowering therapies with complementary mechanisms of action to initial metformin therapy (2,6,7).

In addition to achieving glycemic control (an A1C target of <7% in most patients), clinical guidelines recommend that treatment choice should also take into account relevant patient comorbidities (including CVD, heart failure [HF], and chronic kidney disease [CKD]) and avoidance of hypoglycemia and weight gain (2,6,7). A patient-centered approach to treatment selection, which includes considering risk factors for CVD, hypoglycemia, and weight gain, as well as cost, route of administration, and patient preferences (6,7), is recommended (Figure 2).

Despite the guideline recommendations for combination therapy to achieve A1C goals in patients with type 2 diabetes, there remains an urgent need to overcome clinical inertia with regard to its use (12–14). More than half of patients do not receive a change or intensification of their therapy within 12 months of follow-up despite not being at their target A1C (14). An examination of prescribing patterns in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes found that regimen changes from initial metformin therapy...
occurred after >2.5 years (12), and in a population-based study, patients had a mean A1C of 9.2% before combination therapy was initiated (13).

Recommendations for combination therapy include the use of glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists and sodium–glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT2) inhibitors—two classes of glucose-lowering therapies with a low risk of hypoglycemia. These drug classes are also associated with important nonglycemic benefits such as reductions in CV risk factors and improvements in CV and renal outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and established CVD or multiple CV risk factors (15–21). In addition to reducing hospitalization for HF in CV outcomes trials (CVOTs) (22), SGLT2 inhibitors have been shown to improve HF-related outcomes in patients with HF with reduced ejection fraction with or without type 2 diabetes (23).

GLP-1 receptor agonists and SGLT2 inhibitors act through complementary mechanisms of action with regard to glycemic control and the pathophysiologic defects of type 2 diabetes. Therefore, combining the agents from these two classes may yield timely achievement of glycemic control and potentially additive CV and renal benefits (24).

**Mechanism of Action and Clinical Outcomes**

**GLP-1 Receptor Agonists**

GLP-1 receptor agonists stimulate GLP-1 receptors in many tissues of the body, including the pancreas, liver, gastrointestinal tract, and brain (Figure 1) (5,25). This drug class promotes insulin secretion and suppresses glucagon release by the pancreas, resulting in glucose-dependent reductions in plasma glucose as well as reductions in postprandial glucose levels through inhibition of hepatic glucose production and delayed gastric emptying (5,24,25). In addition to delaying gastric emptying, GLP-1 receptor agonists act in regions of the brain associated with appetite and reward to induce satiety, which reduces food intake and promotes weight loss (25,26). These drugs may also stimulate anti-inflammatory pathways by reducing oxidative stress, expression of inflammatory cytokines, and nuclear factor-κB binding of mononuclear cells and by increasing adiponectin (a cytokine that can decrease insulin resistance) (27).

GLP-1 receptor agonists have been shown to reduce A1C, postprandial glucose fluctuations, weight, and some CV risk factors and are associated with a low risk of hypoglycemia (7). Seven drugs in this class have been approved for the
treatment of type 2 diabetes in the United States, including short-acting (exenatide twice daily and lixisenatide) and long-acting (albiglutide, dulaglutide, exenatide once weekly [QW], liraglutide, and semaglutide) injectable agents (25), and oral semaglutide (28); all but albiglutide are currently available in the United States (6,28).

In CVOTs of liraglutide (15), semaglutide (16), albiglutide (17), and dulaglutide (18), there were significant reductions in the risk of a three-point composite end point of major adverse CV events (MACE; CV death, nonfatal myocardial infarction [MI], or nonfatal stroke) with GLP-1 receptor agonist therapy (range of reduction in risk across trials of 12–26%) compared with placebo in patients with type 2 diabetes and multiple CVD risk factors or established CVD. There were also significant reductions versus placebo in the risk of nephropathy events by 22% with liraglutide (15), in the risk of new or worsening nephropathy by 36% with semaglutide (16), and in the risk of the composite renal end point (new macroalbuminuria, a sustained ≥30% decrease in estimated glomerular filtration rate, or chronic renal replacement therapy) by 15% with dulaglutide (18). In the CVOT of exenatide QW (29), among patients with type 2 diabetes and a wide range of CV risk, the risk of MACE was not significantly different between the exenatide QW and placebo groups. However, in a subgroup analysis of patients in this study who had established CVD at baseline, exenatide QW was associated with a 10% reduction in the risk of MACE compared with placebo (P = 0.047) (30). In the lixisenatide CVOT (31), the addition of lixisenatide to standard care did not significantly reduce the risk of the three-point composite end point or hospitalization for unstable angina in patients with type 2 diabetes and a recent acute coronary event. However, a meta-analysis (32)
of data from GLP-1 receptor agonist CVOTs (15–18,29,31,33) found that, as a class, GLP-1 receptor agonists reduce the risk of three-point MACE compared with placebo.

SGLT2 Inhibitors

SGLT2 inhibitors decrease plasma glucose levels through inhibition of renal glucose reabsorption in the proximal tubule, which results in increased glucose excretion by the kidneys (34). These reductions in plasma glucose lead to improvements in insulin sensitivity and β-cell function (24). The increased glucose excretion also leads to reductions in weight and adiposity (35). Because inhibition of SGLT2 transporters also reduces sodium reabsorption, SGLT2 inhibitors are associated with increased sodium excretion (natriuresis) and antihypertensive effects (35). Through this mechanism, drugs in this class are believed to restore solute delivery to the macula densa and reactivate tubuloglomerular feedback, which leads to a reduction in glomerular hyperfiltration (36). Furthermore, because of their glucose-dependent mechanism of action, SGLT2 inhibitors have a low risk of hypoglycemia (34).

Four SGLT2 inhibitors are currently available in the United States for the treatment of type 2 diabetes: canagliflozin, dapagliflozin, empagliflozin, and ertugliflozin (37–40). Across clinical studies in patients with type 2 diabetes, these agents reduced A1C and fasting plasma glucose and were associated with improvements in CV risk factors, including reductions in blood pressure, weight, waist circumference, and triglycerides and an increase in HDL cholesterol (41).

In CVOTs of SGLT2 inhibitors, significant reductions in the primary composite end point of three-point MACE were associated with empagliflozin (19) and canagliflozin (20) treatment. Significant reductions in hospitalization for HF (secondary and exploratory end points, respectively, in these trials) versus placebo were also observed with empagliflozin (19) and canagliflozin (20). In its CVOT (21), dapagliflozin was noninferior to placebo with regard to the risk of three-point MACE and significantly reduced the risk of CV death or hospitalization for HF compared with placebo. A subgroup analysis of the dapagliflozin CVOT found that MACE was significantly reduced with dapagliflozin versus placebo among patients with a history of MI but not those without (42). A meta-analysis of SGLT2 inhibitor CVOTs found that drugs in this class reduced the risk of three-point MACE only in patients with established CVD, but that the risk of the composite outcome of CV death or hospitalization for HF was reduced in patients with or without CVD at baseline (22).

In a separate study involving patients with HF (23), dapagliflozin was also found to significantly reduce the risk of the composite primary end point of CV death or worsening HF (HF hospitalization or urgent HF visit requiring intravenous therapy) compared with standard care alone in patients with HF and reduced ejection fraction, both with and without type 2 diabetes. Ongoing clinical trials of SGLT2 inhibition in patients with HF with or without type 2 diabetes are expected to provide further evidence on the potential benefits of this drug class with respect to HF-related outcomes.

Trials have also found that SGLT2 inhibitors are also associated with significant improvements in composite renal end points (21,22,43–45). Furthermore, a study of canagliflozin in patients with type 2 diabetes and CKD (46), which was stopped early after achievement of its prespecified efficacy outcomes, showed a 30% reduction in the risk of the composite of end-stage kidney disease, doubling of serum creatinine, or death from renal or CV causes (primary outcome) with canagliflozin versus placebo.

Of note, in a real-world study of patients who newly initiated SGLT2 inhibitor therapy in routine clinical practice (47), the proportion of patients with established CVD was ~13% compared with >95% in the empagliflozin CVOT (19), 66% in the canagliflozin CVOT (20), and 41% in the dapagliflozin CVOT (21). This finding indicates that most patients in clinical practice have multiple CV risk factors rather than established CVD. In the aforementioned real-world study, SGLT2 inhibition was associated with a reduced risk of MACE, hospitalization for HF, and CV and all-cause mortality compared with other glucose-lowering therapies (47,48).

A CVOT of ertugliflozin (49) is currently investigating long-term CV and renal outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and established CVD.

Combination Therapy With a GLP-1 Receptor Agonist Plus an SGLT2 Inhibitor

Rationale

Given the complementary mechanisms of action and improved clinical outcomes associated with these two drug classes, therapy combining agents from each may result in potentially greater beneficial outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes (5,10,11) When used in combination, a GLP-1 receptor agonist and an SGLT2 inhibitor can potentially correct seven of the eight pathophysiologic defects of type 2 diabetes (Figure 1) (9,24).
Early initiation of such a combination may allow for timely achievement of A1C goals, thereby lowering the risks of diabetes-related morbidity and mortality in patients with early-stage type 2 diabetes. In a real-world study of patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes (3), those with early sustained glycemic control (A1C 6.5–7.0%) had a reduced risk of CV events. Furthermore, an A1C ≥6.5% in the year after diagnosis was associated with an increased risk of microvascular and macrovascular complications and an A1C ≥7.0% was linked to an increased risk of mortality (4).

Clinical Outcomes

The efficacy and safety of combination therapy with a GLP-1 receptor agonist and an SGLT2 inhibitor have been investigated in randomized controlled trials (50,51), as well as in nonrandomized trials (52,53) and real-world observational studies (54–56) (Table 1). Significant reductions in A1C have been observed with the combination versus either drug class alone or baseline A1C levels (50–56). Because GLP-1 receptor agonists and SGLT2 inhibitors reduce A1C through different mechanisms, combination therapy theoretically would be expected to have an additive effect with regard to A1C reduction (24). In general, these studies showed a partially additive effect with the combination of a GLP-1 receptor agonist and an SGLT2 inhibitor (25,50).

Endogenous glucose production is increased in response to reduction in plasma glucose with SGLT2 inhibition, and this increase may not be completely reversed by the GLP-1 receptor agonist, resulting in a less-than-additive effect on the A1C response (57). This less-than-additive A1C response with combination therapy is a common observation when combining two classes of glucose-lowering therapies and may also be because the potential A1C reduction is dependent on baseline glycemic control (50). Combination therapy with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin in the DURATION-8 trial (50) led to a greater proportion of patients achieving A1C targets of <7.0% and ≤6.5% at 52 weeks than with exenatide QW plus placebo or dapagliflozin plus placebo.

SGLT2 inhibitor–induced glucosuria is believed to cause appetite stimulation, which may partially offset weight reductions (58), whereas GLP-1 receptor agonists are associated with appetite suppression (26). However, the combination appears to have an almost additive effect on weight reduction, indicating that reduced food intake with a GLP-1 receptor agonist is not limited by glucosuria-induced weight loss with an SGLT2 inhibitor (25). DURATION-8 showed greater reductions in weight from baseline to week 52 with the combination (3.31 kg) versus exenatide QW plus placebo (1.51 kg, \(P < 0.001\)) or dapagliflozin plus placebo (2.28 kg, \(P = 0.057\)) (50).

### TABLE 1 Summary of Studies Investigating Combination Therapy With a GLP-1 Receptor Agonist and an SGLT2 Inhibitor in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study, Duration</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>(\downarrow) A1C</th>
<th>(\downarrow) W</th>
<th>(\downarrow) SBP</th>
<th>Hypoglycemia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Simultaneous start</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jabbour et al. (50), 52 weeks, and Hardy et al. (65), 104 weeks</td>
<td>R, DB</td>
<td>Exenatide QW + dapagliflozin; exenatide QW + placebo; dapagliflozin + placebo</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>No major episodes; few minor/other episodes (more common with combination)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sequential start</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludvik et al. (51), 24 weeks</td>
<td>R, DB</td>
<td>Dulaglutide vs. placebo added to SGLT2 inhibitor</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>1 severe episode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis et al. (54), 48 weeks</td>
<td>Ret, Obs</td>
<td>Dapagliflozin added to GLP-1 receptor agonist</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deol et al. (55), 3–6 months</td>
<td>Ret, Obs</td>
<td>SGLT2 inhibitor added to GLP-1 receptor agonist</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saroka et al. (56), mean 10.7 months</td>
<td>Obs</td>
<td>Canagliflozin added to GLP-1 receptor agonist</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\(\downarrow\) indicates reduction; ✓ indicates significant reduction with combination therapy versus comparator (or versus baseline in observational studies); X indicates no significant reduction. DB, double-blind; Obs, observational; NR, not reported, R, randomized; Ret, retrospective; SBP, systolic blood pressure; W, weight. 
Combination therapy with a GLP-1 receptor agonist plus a SGLT2 inhibitor has slightly greater-than-additive effects on blood pressure reduction, most likely because of the different mechanisms of action (24,25). Exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin was associated with a significantly greater reduction in systolic blood pressure from baseline to week 52 than exenatide QW plus placebo, but the reduction was not significantly greater than dapagliflozin plus placebo (50). Addition of dulaglutide to stable SGLT2 inhibitor therapy was associated with a greater reduction from baseline to week 24 in systolic blood pressure with dulaglutide 1.5 mg but not with dulaglutide 0.75 mg versus placebo in the AWARD-10 study (51). In a post hoc analysis of the canagliflozin CVOT (59), patients who received canagliflozin in addition to a GLP-1 receptor agonist showed a reduction from baseline in systolic and diastolic blood pressure of −7.0 and −2.6 mmHg, respectively, after 18 weeks.

Because both drug classes are associated with small decreases in plasma triglycerides, combination therapy may further reduce plasma triglycerides (24). In DURATION-8, there was a numerically greater change in triglyceride levels after 52 weeks with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin (−0.22 mmol/L) versus exenatide QW plus placebo (−0.06 mmol/L) or dapagliflozin plus placebo (−0.01 mmol/L), although the between-group differences were not statistically significant (50). Agents from both drug classes are associated with modest improvements in insulin sensitivity through enhancement of β-cell function and weight reduction (24). However, it is not yet known whether the combined use of agents from these classes results in an additive effect with regard to β-cell function.

On the basis of current evidence from clinical trials, combination therapy with a GLP-1 receptor agonist plus an SGLT2 inhibitor is associated with significantly greater reductions in A1C and weight versus a drug from either class alone and potentially synergistic reductions in systolic blood pressure and triglycerides. Considering the improvements in CV and renal end points observed in CVOTs of drugs in both classes, combination therapy could potentially provide additional benefits for metabolic, CV, and renal outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. However, long-term studies are needed to substantiate these benefits.

### Safety Considerations

GLP-1 receptor agonists and SGLT2 inhibitors are both generally well tolerated when used individually (Table 2), with a minimal risk of hypoglycemia (60). In CVOTs, the rate of severe hypoglycemia, or hypoglycemia requiring assistance, with GLP-1 receptor agonists (15–18,29) and SGLT2 inhibitors (19–21) therapy was either similar to or reduced versus that with placebo. Regarding the risk of thyroid cancer with GLP-1 receptor agonists (Table 2), there...
were few or no reports of medullary thyroid cancer in CVOTs (15–18,29). The overall rates of malignant neoplasms with semaglutide and exenatide QW were similar to rates observed with placebo (16,29), whereas liraglutide was associated with a non–statistically significant increase in the incidence of benign or malignant neoplasms and pancreatic cancer (15).

The SGLT2 inhibitor canagliflozin was associated with a risk of lower-extremity amputations in patients with type 2 diabetes in its CVOT (20), but there was no significant increase in risk in the trial of canagliflozin in patients with CKD (Table 2) (43). Some real-world studies have indicated an increased risk of lower-extremity amputation with SGLT2 inhibitors (61,62); however, the results of another real-world study (63) and a meta-analysis of observational databases (64) have suggested that there is no consistent increase in the risk of lower-extremity amputations with agents in this drug class. Because of the limited number of prospective studies and inherent limitations of observational and pharmacovigilance studies, additional research is needed to determine the risk of amputation associated with SGLT2 inhibition (61–64). Canagliflozin, but not empagliflozin or dapagliflozin, may also be associated with an increased risk of fractures on the basis of observations in CVOTs (19–21) (Table 2), although no increased risk was seen in trial of canagliflozin in patients with CKD (46). Similarly, volume depletion occurred at a significantly higher rate with canagliflozin (20), but not with empagliflozin or dapagliflozin (19,21).

In clinical studies of GLP-1 receptor agonist plus SGLT2 inhibitor use, the safety profile of the combination therapy was consistent with those of the individual agents, with no unexpected findings (50–53,56). The risk of hypoglycemia was low across studies of the combination therapy (50–53); there were no reports of major hypoglycemia and few reports of minor hypoglycemia or other hypoglycemic events over 104 weeks with exenatide QW plus dapagliflozin in DURATION-8 (65) and only one severe episode reported with dulaglutide plus an SGLT2 inhibitor over 24 weeks in AWARD-10 (51) (Table 1).

Regarding other adverse events (AEs) of special interest, incidences of pancreatitis, volume depletion, acute renal failure, and marked hematocrit abnormality were low in all treatment groups in DURATION-8 (50). Acute pancreatitis, C-cell hyperplasia, medullary thyroid cancer, amputations, diabetic ketoacidosis, and acute kidney injury were not reported with dulaglutide plus an SGLT2 inhibitor in AWARD-10, although a few patients experienced possibly hypotension-related AEs (51).

Clinical Implications

The clinical presentation of type 2 diabetes at the time of diagnosis ranges from patients who are asymptomatic to those with severe hyperglycemia or ketoacidosis, and many patients may already have an increased risk of developing microvascular complications (1). Because of the complex nature of type 2 diabetes pathophysiology and the increased risk of diabetes complications, most patients require combination glucose-lowering therapy that targets multiple metabolic defects to achieve effective glycemic control (to prevent microvascular complications) and correct CV risk factors (to prevent macrovascular complications) (1).

Guidelines from the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE)/American College of Endocrinology (ACE) provide a suggested hierarchy for the use of glucose-lowering therapies that takes into account the properties of each drug class, including the risk of hypoglycemia and weight gain, and note that combination therapy is usually required to achieve glycemic control (2). GLP-1 receptor agonists and SGLT2 inhibitors are preferred as add-on therapy to metformin over other drug classes in dual- and triple-combination therapy regimens (2). Guidelines from AACE/ACE, the American Diabetes Association (ADA), and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes recommend that the choice of glucose-lowering therapy include consideration of individual patients’ CV, cerebrovascular, and renal status in addition to the glycemic efficacy, hypoglycemia risk, effects on weight, AEs, and cost of different treatments (2,6,7). These guidelines favor the use of GLP-1 receptor agonists and SGLT2 inhibitors with proven CV or renal benefits in patients with CVD and CKD (2,6,7).

The AACE/ACE and ADA guidelines also recognize that concomitant medications for control of blood pressure and lipids are needed in most patients to reduce the risk of CVD (2,6). Simultaneous management of multiple CV risk factors is associated with clinical benefits in patients with type 2 diabetes, including reductions in CVD morbidity and mortality (7,66). Given the importance of achieving AtC goals early to avoid macrovascular and microvascular complications (2), patients with early-stage type 2 diabetes may be candidates for combination therapy (1).

There are some potential challenges with using a combination of GLP-1 receptor agonist and SGLT2 inhibitor therapy, including adherence to different routes of administration (subcutaneous and oral), the lack of a fixed combination product, and the associated costs of combination therapy. Furthermore, some patients may be averse to using injectable therapy (67). However, when considering
injectable glucose-lowering therapy, patients have the option of weekly GLP-1 receptor agonist injections (68).

The early initiation of combination glucose-lowering therapy could potentially provide timely achievement of glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes (69). Long-term evidence suggests that the use of a GLP-1 receptor agonist and an SGLT2 inhibitor, when added to metformin, could delay the start of insulin therapy by 5–6 years (70). Because drugs from both of these classes have a low risk of weight gain and hypoglycemia and most GLP-1 receptor agonists offer a decreased injection burden compared with insulin (QW or once daily versus once or twice daily), their combined use may address both physician- and patient-related barriers to effective glycemic control (69).

Conclusion

Because of complementary mechanisms of action, combination therapy with a GLP-1 receptor agonist plus an SGLT2 inhibitor provides effective and durable glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes and carries a low risk of hypoglycemia. Evidence from clinical studies of the combination’s effects on CVD risk factors, as well as evidence of CV benefits reported in the CVOTs of the individual agents suggests that using this combination could be a good option to overcome some of the clinical barriers to achieving timely and effective glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. Further long-term studies are needed to demonstrate that improvements in CV risk factors with such a combination have a significant effect on CV and renal outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and either established CVD or high CV risk.
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